

**Optical Emission Lines**  
**from Warm Interstellar Clouds - a Decisive Test of the**  
**Decaying Neutrino Theory.**

D.W. Sciama

SISSA and ICTP, Trieste

Via Beirut 2-4, 34013 Trieste, Italy

Nuclear and Astrophysics Laboratory, University of Oxford, Keble Road, Oxford OX1  
3RH, England

Received \_\_\_\_\_; accepted \_\_\_\_\_

## ABSTRACT

Recently developed instruments such as the Taurus Tunable Filter and WHAM should be able to detect some or all of the optical emission lines  $H\alpha$ ,  $[OI] \lambda 6300$ ,  $[SII] \lambda 6717$ ,  $[NI] \lambda 5200$  and  $[NII] \lambda 6584$  from warm interstellar clouds such as those observed by Spitzer & Fitzpatrick (1993) (SF) along the line of sight to the halo star HD93521. The strengths of these lines should resolve the debate as to whether the free electrons, which SF held responsible for the observed excitation of CII in the clouds, are located mainly in the skins of the clouds or in their interiors. If the free electrons are indeed mainly located in the cloud interiors, then the substantial electron density derived by SF, and its constancy from cloud to cloud for the slow-moving clouds, when combined with their opacity to Lyman continuum radiation, lend strong support to the decaying neutrino theory for the ionisation of the interstellar medium (Sciama 1990, 1993 a, b, 1997). If the  $[OI]$  and  $[NI]$  lines are relatively strong but the  $[NII]$  line is weak, then this would lend further, decisive, support to this theory, since decay photons are unable to ionise N, although its ionisation potential is only 0.9 eV greater than that of H.

*Subject headings:* ISM:clouds — elementary particles

## 1. Introduction

The recent development of sensitive instruments such as the Taurus Tunable Filter (Bland-Hawthorn & Jones 1998) and WHAM (Reynolds et al 1998a) for observing faint optical emission lines may make possible the detection of such lines from individual warm interstellar clouds. It would be of particular interest to study in this way the clouds observed by Spitzer & Fitzpatrick (1993) (SF) along the line of sight to the halo star HD 93521. Their HST observations of the spectrum of this star revealed the presence of nine separate warm ( $T \simeq 6000$  K) absorbing clouds. Four of these clouds were slowly moving relative to the sun, the modulus of their heliocentric velocities being less than  $19 \text{ km sec}^{-1}$ . The remaining five clouds had higher relative velocities, up to  $-66.3 \text{ km sec}^{-1}$ . One of the absorbing ions which SF observed in each cloud was CII\* ( $J = 3/2$ ), whose excitation they attributed to collisions between CII ions and free electrons. They were able to derive from their data on the column density  $N(\text{CII}^*)$  the mean electron density  $n_e$  in each cloud and found that  $n_e$  is (i) substantial ( $\sim 0.05 - 0.1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  in the slow clouds depending on the assumed abundance of C) and (ii) the same in each of the slow clouds to a precision  $\sim 10$  per cent. They argued that these free electrons are mainly located in the interiors of the clouds rather than in their skins (as later proposed by Domgörgen and Mathis 1994).

Further arguments in favour of the interior location of the free electrons were given by Sciama (1993 b, 1997) who also claimed that, because each of the clouds has a column density of H I exceeding  $5.6 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , and so is opaque to hydrogen-ionising photons near the Lyman edge, properties (i) and (ii) strongly suggest that the free electrons in the clouds are mainly produced by ionising photons emitted by "dark" matter neutrinos in the clouds (Sciama 1990, 1993 a). The aim of this letter is to point out that, if the strengths of the emission lines  $\text{H}\alpha$ ,  $[\text{OI}] \lambda 6300$ ,  $[\text{SII}] \lambda 6717$ ,  $[\text{NI}] \lambda 5200$  and  $[\text{NII}] \lambda 6584$  could be measured in the clouds, it should be possible to settle these questions conclusively.

## 2. Optical Emission Lines from Warm Interstellar Clouds

With the exception of  $H\alpha$ , all the emission lines listed above require the emitting atoms or ions to be excited by electron collisions. Accordingly, for the lines to be observable the clouds concerned would have to be warm ( $T > 3000$  K). In fact the SF clouds have  $T \sim 6000$  K, as judged by the widths of their 21 cm emission lines and their various absorption lines. The strengths of the optical emission lines, and also of the absorption line of  $CII^*$ , are each determined (except for  $H\alpha$ ) by the product of the column density of the emitting atom or ion, the mean electron density, a function of the temperature through each cloud, and the collision cross-section. As is well known from many observational and theoretical studies, it so happens that, for the elements O, S, and N, their interstellar abundances relative to H, when combined with a temperature  $\sim 10^4$  K and their excitation cross-section  $\sim 10^{-15}$  cm<sup>2</sup> (Osterbrock 1989), lead to emission fluxes in the lines comparable to, but somewhat less than, the flux of  $H\alpha$  from the same diffuse region of the ISM, if the dominant ionisation state of the emitting atom or ion is the one which produces the lines concerned. This simple coincidence underlies our proposed use of these emission lines to test the decaying neutrino theory. We now consider each of these emission lines, and the absorption line of  $CII^*$ , in turn.

### (i) $CII^*$

We assume for simplicity that each cloud has a uniform total hydrogen density  $n_H$  and temperature  $T$ , and that the hydrogen in the skin of each cloud is completely ionised. Then we have from SF that

$$N(CII^*) = \frac{\xi_C n_H \chi_c}{T^{1/2}} (n_H l_s + n_e l_c), \quad (1)$$

where  $\xi_C$  is the abundance of C relative to H,  $\chi_c$  is the collision strength,  $l_s$  and  $l_c$  are

the total thicknesses of the skins and the interior of a cloud, and  $n_e$  is the electron density in the interior of a cloud. We have assumed that CII is the dominant ionisation stage of C throughout each cloud. SF observed that, for the four slowly-moving, and presumably shock-free, clouds  $N(\text{CII}^*) \propto N(\text{HI})$ . The natural conclusions from this observed proportionality are that (a)  $n_H l_s \ll n_e l_c$ , (b) inside each of these clouds  $n_{HI} \gg n_e$ , and (c)  $n_e$  is the same in each of these clouds. In that case

$$N(\text{CII}^*) = \frac{\xi_C \chi_c}{T^{1/2}} n_e N(\text{HI}). \quad (2)$$

Since  $\chi_C$  and  $T$  are known one can derive  $n_e$  from this relation if  $\xi_C$  can be determined. Unfortunately the CII absorption line is saturated in each cloud, and SF had to make an assumption about the value of  $\xi_C$ . As we shall see below, this uncertainty can be avoided if the strength of the [SII] emission line can be measured, since  $N(\text{SII})$  was directly observed in each cloud.

(ii)  $\text{H}\alpha$

We have, for case B,

$$\text{H}\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \alpha (n_H^2 l_s + n_e^2 l_c), \quad (3)$$

where  $\alpha$  is the appropriate recombination coefficient. If the free electrons were mainly in the skin of each cloud we would then have

$$\text{H}\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \alpha n_H^2 l_s \quad (4)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha T^{1/2}}{\xi_C \chi_c} N(\text{CII}^*). \quad (5)$$

If  $\xi_C$  is solar,  $T = 6000$  K, and  $N(\text{CII}^*) = 2.3 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  (as it is in cloud number 6) we would obtain  $\text{H}\alpha = 2 \times 10^5 \text{ photons cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  for this cloud alone.

On the other hand, if the free electrons were mainly in the interior of each cloud we would have

$$\text{H}\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha T^{1/2}}{\xi_C \chi_c} \frac{n_e}{n_H} N(\text{CII}^*), \quad (6)$$

which is less than the previous value by the (small) factor  $n_e/n_H$ . It follows that a measurement of  $\text{H}\alpha$  from the clouds would determine the location of the free electrons and would constrain  $n_e/n_H$  if the electrons are found to be mainly in the interior. There exist unpublished measurements and upper limits on  $\text{H}\alpha$  in this direction obtained by Reynolds (private communication), and these observations were used by Sciama (1997) to deduce that the free electrons are indeed mainly in the interiors of the clouds, and that  $n_e/n_H \sim 1/3.5$  or  $1/7$ , depending on whether the abundance of C is assumed to be solar or half-solar. It would be desirable to confirm this argument by obtaining definite values of  $\text{H}\alpha$  in each cloud from the new instruments. It might also be possible to observe limb brightening if the angular resolution permits (Bland-Hawthorn, private communication).

(iii) [O I]  $\lambda 6300$

This line has recently been detected in the diffuse ISM by WHAM (Reynolds et al 1998 b). Its ratio to  $\text{H}\alpha$  is so low ( $\sim 0.01$ - $0.04$ ) that Reynolds et al concluded that in the regions concerned H must be highly ionised, since the ionisation level of O is tied to that of H by charge exchange (Field & Steigman 1971). Since the line is only detectable from regions which contain appreciable densities of both neutral O and free electrons, it is ideal for testing the claim that the SF clouds are mainly neutral in their interiors but also contain an appreciable density of free electrons. In that case we would have (Reynolds 1989)

$$[\text{OI}] = 2 \times 10^{-9} T_4^{0.45} \exp(-2.28/T_4) \xi_O n_e N(\text{HI}). \quad (7)$$

For  $T_4 = 0.6$ ,  $\xi_O = 8.5 \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $n_e = 0.05 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and  $N(\text{HI}) = 2 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  as found for cloud 6, one would obtain

$$[\text{OI}] = 2.8 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1} \quad (8)$$

for this cloud alone. A flux of this order would be measurable by the new instruments if the geocoronal [OI] line can be avoided. This might require confining the observations to the fast clouds. If a flux of this order is found it would show conclusively that  $n_e$  is substantial in the interiors of the clouds. The observed flux would also determine  $\xi_O/\xi_C$  for each cloud.

(iv) [SII]  $\lambda 6717$

Since S is expected to be mainly in the form SII in both the skin and the interior of each cloud, one would have (Reynolds 1989)

$$[\text{SII}] = 7.3 \times 10^{-8} T_4^{-0.5} \exp(-2.14/T_4) n_e N(\text{SII}), \quad (9)$$

if one assumes that, because SF found that  $N(\text{SII}) \propto N(\text{HI})$ , most of the SII is in the interior of each cloud. For  $T_4 = 0.6$ ,  $n_e = 0.05 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and  $N(\text{SII}) = 3.2 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  (as it is in cloud 6), one would have

$$[\text{SII}] = 4.1 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1} \quad (10)$$

for this cloud alone. Again this is a measurable flux with the new instruments. Since  $N(\text{SII})$  was measured by SF in each cloud, the flux of [SII] can be used to derive the value of  $n_e$

in each cloud, without having to make an assumption about the abundance of SII which, in fact, SF found to be solar from the observed ratio  $N(\text{SII})/N(\text{HI})$ . This value of  $n_e$  could then be used to evaluate both the ionisation rate of H and the abundance of C and O in each cloud.

(v) [NI]  $\lambda 5200$

Although the ionisation level of N is no longer thought to be tied to that of H by charge exchange (Osterbrock 1989), one would still expect that in the interiors of the clouds N would be mainly in the form NI. If both N and O have solar abundances in the clouds one has (Silk 1970)

$$\log \frac{[\text{OI}]}{[\text{NI}]} = 0.29 + \frac{0.16}{T_4}. \quad (11)$$

Hence for cloud 6 one would have

$$[\text{NI}] = 7.8 \times 10^3 \text{cm}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}. \quad (12)$$

If this line could be detected one would again be able to test, as with the [OI] line, whether the free electrons are mainly located in the interiors of the clouds. In addition one would be able to determine the abundance of N in the clouds.

(vi) [NII]  $\lambda 6548$

A key question for the decaying neutrino theory is whether the decay photons can ionise N (ionisation potential 14.5 eV) as well as H (13.6 eV). As pointed out by Sciama (1995), if the extragalactic hydrogen-ionising flux at zero red shift is less than  $\sim 10^5 \text{photons cm}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$  (as claimed by Vogel et al (1995) and by Donahue et al (1995) from their  $\text{H}\alpha$  observations of intergalactic neutral H clouds) then the energy of a decay photon must be less than  $\sim 13.7$  eV, so that N would not be ionised by decay photons. If, however, N is ionised in clouds by



the same mechanism as is H, then a comparison of the photoionisation and recombination rates of N and H suggests that NII/NI would have the same order of magnitude as HII/HI. By contrast if the H in the interiors of the clouds is mainly ionised by decay photons, than the ionisation level of N in the interiors of the clouds would be much less than that of H.

One could determine NI/NII in the cloud interiors if one could measure both [NII]  $\lambda$ 6584 and [NI]  $\lambda$ 5200 in the clouds. This follows because one has (Reynolds et al 1977)

$$[\text{NII}] = \frac{\exp(0.57/T_4)}{0.89T_4} \frac{\text{NII}}{\text{NI}} [\text{NI}]. \quad (13)$$

Hence for  $T_4 = 0.6$ ,

$$[\text{NII}] = 4.85 \frac{\text{NII}}{\text{NI}} [\text{NI}]. \quad (14)$$

If one finds that NII/NI has the same order of magnitude as  $n_e/n_H$  as determined by the  $\text{H}\alpha$  data, then a conventional mechanism for the ionisation of H would be indicated. However, if  $\text{NII}/\text{NI} \ll n_e/n_H$ , then the decaying neutrino theory would be confirmed.

### 3. Conclusions

We conclude that observations of optical emission lines from the warm interstellar clouds along the line of sight to the halo star HD93521 could

(a) decide whether their free electrons are mainly located in the skins or in the interiors of the clouds,

(b) provide a measurement of the mean electron density in each cloud free of uncertain assumptions about element abundances,

(c) determine the abundances of the elements concerned in the clouds,

(d) determine whether NII/NI in the clouds is comparable to or much less than HII/HI.

If the latter is found to be the case, this would provide decisive evidence in favour of the decaying neutrino theory, whose photons are unable to ionise N although its ionisation potential exceeds that of H by only 0.9 eV.

I am grateful to Ron Reynolds for his crucial help, and to him and Joss Bland-Hawthorn for their comments on the manuscript. I am grateful to the MURST for their financial support for this work.

## REFERENCES

- Bland-Hawthorn, J., & Jones, D. H. 1998, *PASA*, 15, 44
- Domgörgen, H., & Mathis, J. S. 1994, *ApJ*, 428, 647
- Donahue, M., Aldering, G., & Stocke, J. T. 1995, *ApJ*, 450, L45
- Field, G. B., & Steigman, G. 1971, *ApJ*, 166, 59
- Osterbrock, D. E. 1989, *Astrophysics of Gaseous Nebulae and Active Galactic Nuclei* (Mill Valley: University Science Books)
- Reynolds, R. J. 1989, *ApJ*, 345, 811
- Reynolds, R. J., Roesler, F. L., & Scherb, F. 1977, *ApJ*, 211, 115
- Reynolds, R. J., Tufte, S. L., Haffner, L. M., Jaehnig, K., & Percival, J. W. 1998a, *PASA*, 15, 14
- Reynolds, R. J., Hausen, N. R., Tufte, S. L., Haffner, L. M. 1998b, *ApJ*, 494, L99
- Sciama, D. W. 1990, *ApJ*, 364, 549
- Sciama, D. W. 1993a, *Modern Cosmology and the Dark Matter Problem* (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)
- Sciama, D. W. 1993b, *ApJ*, 409, L25
- Sciama, D. W. 1995, *ApJ*, 448, 667
- Sciama, D. W. 1997, *ApJ*, 488, 234
- Silk, J. 1970, *ApJ*, 161, L37
- Spitzer, L. & Fitzpatrick, E. L. 1993, *ApJ*, 409, 299
- Vogel, S. M., Weymann, R., Rauch, M., & Hamilton, T. 1995, *ApJ*, 441, 162